

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
9

10 GEORGE ASSAD, )  
11 Plaintiff, ) Case No. 2:13-cv-2034-GMN-NJK  
12 vs. ) ORDER DENYING DISCOVERY PLAN  
13 STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE ) (Docket No. 11)  
14 INSURANCE COMPANY, )  
15 Defendant. )  
16

17 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan and scheduling order (Docket  
18 No. 11), which is hereby DENIED without prejudice. The parties shall submit a revised discovery plan,  
19 no later than December 17, 2013, that correctly states the number of days for discovery they are  
20 requesting as well as a more specific explanation for why a longer discovery period should apply to this  
case.

21 In the present proposed discovery plan, the parties indicate that 365 days are required for  
22 discovery, yet they propose a discovery cut-off date measured from the Rule 26 conference and not the  
23 date that Defendant first answered or otherwise appeared. Further, the parties have not provided a  
24 sufficient explanation for why they need twice the presumptively reasonable 180 days for discovery.<sup>1</sup>  
25 Therefore, the parties must provide "a statement of the reasons why longer or different time periods  
26

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup>The extent of the parties' explanation is that they "are requesting additional time based upon the  
amount of damages." Docket No. 11, at 2.

1 should apply to the case . . ." *See* LR 26-1(d).

2 Finally, the proposed discovery plan incorrectly states the requirements of LR 26-4. Under LR  
3 26-4, requests to extend discovery deadlines must be filed at least 21 days before the expiration of the  
4 subject deadline sought to be extended. LR 26-4. A request made after the expiration of the subject  
5 deadline shall not be granted unless the movant demonstrates that the failure to act was the result of  
6 excusable neglect.

7 The parties shall submit a revised discovery plan, no later than December 17, 2013, that  
8 complies with the Local Rules and that provides sufficient reasons for the requested extended deadlines,  
9 should the parties still seek longer than the presumptively reasonable 180 days.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: December 10, 2013

12  
13 NANCY J. KOPPE  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28